



To: East Area Committee 06/06/2013

Report by: Director of Environment, Simon Payne.

Wards affected: Petersfield, Romsey, Coleridge, Abbey

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME

1.0 Executive summary

- This report requests that the Committee determine whether the proposed minor traffic regulation order schemes, listed in table 3.0 of this report, should be allocated funding from its remaining joint minor highway works budget.

2.0 Recommendations

The East Area Committee is recommended:

- 2.1 To approve the delivery of the minor traffic regulation orders listed in Table 3.0, at an estimated cost of £6000, funded by the remainder of the East Area Committee 2011/12 joint minor highway works budget.

3.0 BACKGROUND

- 3.1 In 2011/12 the County Council, through the Cambridge Area Joint Committee, allocated £7000 to East Area Committee from its minor highway works budget, to deliver minor traffic regulation orders and related works.
- 3.2 East Area Committee approved a matched funding allocation from its Environmental Improvement Programme budget in October 2011, taking the total budget to £14,000.
- 3.3 Since then various traffic regulation orders have been delivered, following approval by this Committee, as shown in table 1.0 below.

Scheme Title	Scheme Description	Ward	Status	Final Cost £	Comments
Stone Street	Double yellow lines on the corner of Stone Street/Ainsworth Street to improve access for larger vehicles.	Petersfield	Complete	£ 332.37	Traffic order made and double yellow lines implemented on site.
Fairsford Place	Double yellow lines on the corner of Stone Street/Ainsworth Street to improve access for larger vehicles.	Petersfield	Complete	£ 344.86	Traffic order made and double yellow lines implemented on site.
Ainsworth Court	Double yellow lines on both corners of Ainsworth Court/Ainsworth Street to improve access .	Petersfield	Complete	£ 328.13	Traffic order made and double yellow lines implemented on site.
Mill Road 20 mph signage	Extra 20mph roundels in Mill Road at junctions.	Petersfield	Complete	£ 497.64	Roundels have been marked on site.
Hills Road to Claremont lining	Small hatched area in Hills Road to keep the turning into Claremont clear and thus keep the traffic flowing.	Petersfield	Complete	£ 194.14	Keep Clear markings have been marked on site.
Tiverton Way/ Budleigh Close	Double yellow lines on both sides of the bend where Tiverton Way meets Budleigh Close to improve access.	Coleridge/ Romsey	Complete	£ 302.86	Traffic order made and double yellow lines implemented on site.
Ross Street	Removal of a small stretch of unwanted double yellow lines and re-marking as a parking bay.	Romsey	Complete	£ 468.37	Traffic order made, double yellow line removed and parking bay re-marked on site.
TOTAL				£2,468.37	

Table 1.0; Completed minor schemes

3.4 There are also some proposed orders that are still in progress from the list of proposed schemes put forward last year.

3.5 These schemes rely on resources made available by the County Council as the traffic authority. The City Council does not have the authority to carry out the statutory process required for the introduction of the traffic regulation order. It also cannot determine any objections that are subsequently received. This currently has to be carried out by the respective portfolio holder, County Cllr Mac McGuire.

3.6 The schemes listed in table 2.0 below are still in progress. Many of these orders have been through the statutory process and are now waiting for the legal order to be made, before the associated highway lining can be implemented.

Scheme Title	Scheme Description	Ward	Status	Estimated Budget £	Comments
Ainsworth Place	Proposed double yellow lines on both sides of the road from its junction with Ainsworth Street to address access issues.	Petersfield	Ongoing	£2,000.00	Consultation has been carried out and the proposal has support, however the road is not public highway so Traffic Order cannot be advertised. City Council Officers are in the process of speaking to the land owner to find a way forward.
Riverside Parking Restrictions (North-east of Riverside Place)	Proposed double yellow Lines. Double yellow lines on the east side are new, the double yellow lines on the west replace an existing single yellow line. Aim of the proposal is to improve visibility for cyclists.	Abbey	Ongoing	£ 500.00	Consultation has been carried out and no comments were received. Traffic order has therefore been advertised and no objections were received. Traffic order can therefore be implemented once the legal order has been made.
Kerridge Close	Proposed double yellow Lines on the entrance to the parking area. Access to the parking area is currently restricted as cars are parking on both sides of the entrance.	Petersfield	Ongoing	£ 500.00	Consultation has been carried out and no comments were received. Traffic order has therefore been advertised however objections have been received. Objections received have been referred to the County Council to resolve.
Burnside/ Brookfields	Proposed double yellow Lines on both sides of the bend where Burnside meets Brookfields to address access issues. Currently large vehicles are not able to access Burnside due to vehicles parked on the corner.	Romsey/ Coleridge	Ongoing	£ 500.00	Consultation has been carried out and feedback positive. Traffic order has therefore been advertised and no objections were received. Traffic order can therefore be implemented once the legal order has been made.
TOTAL				£5,468.37	

Table 2.0; Schemes in progress

- 3.7 Taking into consideration the cost of schemes that have now been completed and the estimated cost of those still in progress, the remaining budget available for new suggested schemes is approximately £6000.
- 3.8 Suggestions have been received from Ward Councillors and Officers from the City Council's Waste Services Department.
- 3.9 There are streets across the city where access for larger vehicles is made very difficult or in many cases impossible by the location of on street parking.
- 3.10 This also has a direct implication for emergency services, particularly the fire brigade, where the consequences are far more serious.
- 3.11 All of the suggestions made by these officers have therefore been included within table 3.0 below.
- 3.12 Members of the Committee are asked to approve further development and implementation of the schemes listed in table 3.0, subject to positive consultation and any subsequent objections to the proposed TRO being upheld as part of the statutory process.

Scheme Title	Scheme Description	Ward	Status	Estimated Budget £	Comments
Staffordshire Street	Proposed double yellow Lines to prevent footway parking.	Petersfield	New	500	Proposal to be developed further.
Rustat Avenue	Proposed double yellow Lines to improve access for larger vehicles.	Coleridge	New	500	Proposal to be developed further.
Kelvin Close	Proposed double yellow lines on both sides of the road around its junction with Cherry Hinton Road.	Coleridge	New	500	Proposal to be developed further.
William Smith Close	Proposed double yellow Lines on one side of the road to improve access for larger vehicles.	Romsey	New	500	Proposal to be developed further.
Marmora Road / Malta Road	Proposed double yellow Lines at the junction to improve access for larger vehicles.	Romsey	New	500	Proposal to be developed further.
Redmond Court off Coleridge Road	Proposed double yellow Line to improve visibility when emerging from Redmond Court.	Romsey	New	500	Proposal to be developed further.
Cavendish Court (off Cavendish Road)	Proposed double yellow Lines to improve access for larger vehicles.	Romsey	New	1500	Not public highway, ownership issues to be determined in the first instance.
Greville Road/ Charles Street	Proposed double yellow Lines to improve access for larger vehicles.	Romsey	New	500	Proposal to be developed further.
Vinery Road	Proposed double yellow Lines on either side of the existing pedestrian crossing.	Romsey	New	500	Proposal to be developed further.
Natal Road	Proposed double yellow Lines at the corner of Montreal Road / Montreal Square	Romsey	New	500	Proposal to be developed further.
		TOTAL		6000	

Table 3.0 New suggested schemes for approval.

5.0 Background papers

NONE

6.0 Appendices

NONE

7.0 Inspection of papers

To inspect the background papers or if you have a query on the report please contact:

Author's Name: Andrew Preston
Author's Phone Number: 01223 457271
Author's Email: andrew.preston@cambridge.gov.uk